



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,423	09/22/2006	Alain-Dominique Jean-Pierre Gorse	05796.0016.PCUS01	1087
27194	7590	09/17/2010	[REDACTED]	EXAMINER
HOWREY LLP-CA				BRUSCA, JOHN S
C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT				
2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-2924			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/582,423	Applicant(s) GORSE, ALAIN-DOMINIQUE JEAN-PIERRE
	Examiner John S. Brusca	Art Unit 1631

All Participants:**Status of Application:** 71

- (1) John S. Brusca (USPTO). (3) ____.
 (2) Kate Berezutskaya. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2010**Time:** 11:00 AM**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Written description of claim 33 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph

Claims discussed:

33

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John S. Brusca/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Pursuant to an initial phone call placed by Examiner Brusca on 23 August 2010 regarding possible amendments to claim 33 that would overcome the rejection for lack of written description, the applicants responded on 14 September 2010 with a proposed amendment to claim 33 that would limit the target molecule to proteins. The examiner stated that proteins were a genus limitation for which a representative number of species would need to be described. Because the specification only describes antibodies as target molecules, the genus of proteins is not sufficiently described to support the proposed amendment. The applicants stated they would further consider an amendment to claim 33 that would overcome the rejection for lack of written description..